Forest Service Nez Perce-Clearwater National Forest 903 3rd St. Kamiah, ID 83536 208-588-3400

Categorical Exclusion Water Resources Specialist Report

Project Name: Hamby Saddle Hazardous Tree Removal

Date Submitted to Project File:

Project Sponsor (Name/Phone/E-mail): Andrew Lane, 208-983-4017

Project Description

Ranger District/Zone: Red River Ranger District

SubBasin/Subwatershed: South Fork Clearwater and Lower Selway HUC8/ Lower Newsome

Creek, Upper Newsome Creek, Ohara Creek HUC12

*See Project Proposal Description document in the Project File

Scope

The purpose of this report is to evaluate whether the proposed project has potential "significant and adverse impacts" on water resources, and therefore whether the project qualifies for a Categorical Exclusion.

Purpose & Need, Proposed Action, & Design Criteria

The Red River Ranger District is proposing the removal of hazard trees in approximately 60 acres (map below). Many Spruce snags were identified, posing hazards to FS and private travelers of the 464 road. The road is also a groomed snowmobile route, so eliminating the hazard trees would provide a benefit for summer and winter users.

Logging will take place within 200 feet of the 464 road and only dead standing trees would be cut, felled, skidded, bucked, and hauled. Feller bunchers, chainsaws, skidders, loaders, and logging trucks would be used to accomplish the above actions. The main access and haul route would be the 464 road. Landing piles would be constructed and burned by the fire crew post-harvest. If any, monitoring will be conducted post-implementation.

No logging would occur within any riparian areas, wilderness areas, landslide prone areas, roadless areas. Purchaser would pull cable to down trees in areas over 35% slopes. No temp roads would be needed. Landings and skid trails would be scarified after use. Best management practices would be implemented to protect stream courses. 150' buffers would be implemented on all intermittent streams, 300' buffers would be implemented on all fish bearing streams (if present).

Work could begin as early as the summer of 2017 and will take 1 year to complete the project.

Affected Area

Impacts to water resources are addressed at the watershed, subwatershed, and reach scale. Water resources include live water (streams, rivers, standing water), subsurface waters (i.e. wetlands, floodplains, groundwater), and springs/seeps.

Potential Impact Analysis

The following actions were completed to assess the impact on surface and groundwater resources:

Extraordinary Circumstances review







Forest Service Nez Perce-Clearwater National Forest 903 3rd St. Kamiah, ID 83536 208-588-3400

- Federal and State compliance review
- Design Criteria review
- Geospatial analysis > RCHA buffer and activity proximity

Extraordinary Circumstances Review

PROTECTED RESOURCE	PRESENT?		Adverse Effect?	
Floodplain(s)	⊠ Yes	□No	☐ Yes	⊠ No/NA
Wetland(s)	☐ Yes	⊠ No	☐ Yes	⊠ No/NA
Municipal Watershed(s)	☐ Yes	⊠No	☐ Yes	⊠ No/NA

^{*}Authority: FSH 1909.15 Chapter 30 (USDA, 2007), Executive Orders 11988 (Floodplains) and 11990 (Wetlands)

The project overlaps with Riparian Conservation Habitat Area (RHCA). However these as well as landslide prone areas will be appropriately buffered in accordance with PACFISH-INFISH and no logging will occur within.

No ground disturbance that would potentially effect groundwater systems are proposed in this project.

Clean Water Act: Sections 303(d) TMDLs and 305(b) Impaired Waters

clean water Act. Sections 303(u) Thibes and 303(b) impaired waters						
	PRESENT?		Adverse Effect?			
303(d)-Listed Stream(s)	⊠ Yes	□ No	☐ Yes	⊠ No/NA		
Ground disturbance?	⊠ Yes	□No	☐ Yes	⊠ No/NA		
Pollutant/issue to exceed	☐ Yes	⊠No	☐ Yes	⊠ No/NA		
temperature standards?						
Further impairment of	☐ Yes	⊠No	☐ Yes	⊠ No/NA		
the watershed?						

Beaver Creek, East fork of Mare Creek, and Mule Creek, are in close proximity to proposed actions and identified on the Total Maximum Daily Loads (TMDL) 303(d) list for exceedances in water temperature.

Considering design criteria and adaptive use of BMPs, no ground disturbance that would potentially and noticeably effect groundwater systems and/or increase sediment/water yields into surface waters are proposed in this project. Therefore, no changes in water temperatures are expected from proposed actions.

Multiple Use and Sustained Yield Act (1960)

	Present?		Adverse Effect?			
Wild & Scenic River	☐ Yes	⊠No	☐ Yes	⊠ No/NA		
Other Beneficial Use	⊠ Yes	□ No	☐ Yes	⊠ No/NA		
Water Rights violations	☐ Yes	□ No/NA	☐ Yes	⊠ No/NA		

Secondary Contact Recreation has not been assessed and therefore status of this beneficial use cannot be assessed in regards to this project. Cold water aquatic life is currently not being supported.

Proposed actions are adjacent to source water for Elk City Water and Sewer Association. Effects







Forest Service Nez Perce-Clearwater National Forest 903 3rd St. Kamiah, ID 83536 208-588-3400

from proposed actions are not expected to have effects on source water's water quality.

Federal and Forest Plan Consistency

The Nez Perce-Clearwater National Forest is under Forest Plan Revision, and still functioning under separate Forest Plans. The Clearwater National Forest Plan (USDA, 1987b) objective for water quality is to meet or exceed "current Idaho Water Quality Standards' and to accomplish such objective through use of Best Management Practices (BMPs) (IDAPA Chapter 58;FSM 2532, 1992; FS990a, 2012). BMPs include Soil and Water Conservation Practices (FSH 2509.22) to control, mitigate, and monitor non-point source pollution.

The proposed project is consistent with the federal and state standards, goals, and objectives for water resources.

Direct and Indirect Effects

Considering the proposed project's Design Criteria and adaptive use of BMPs regarding water quality, no direct or indirect significant, adverse effects to floodplains, wetlands, or municipal watersheds are proposed or expected for this project.

Cumulative Effects

The proposed action and design criteria present negligible potential for non-point or point sources of pollution/contamination to water resources. The proposed project would not create any new adverse effects to the resources of concern listed above, therefor, there would be no cumulative adverse impacts.

Conclusion

Based on my review of the proposed action, I have determined that the proposed action is hereby categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

/S/ Cynthia Valle, Forest Hydrologist	August 3, 2017
Name, Job Title	DATE









